

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/810,546 | 03/26/2004 | | David R. McCormick | M6257RE | 9366 |
| 423 | 7590 . | 12/15/2006 | · | EXAM | INER |
| HENKEL (| | | GREEN, ANTHONY J | | |
| | THE TRIAD, SUITE 200 2200 RENAISSANCE BLVD. ART UNIT PAPER NUI | | | | |
| GULPH MI | | | 1755 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/15/200 | c |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | 10/810,546 | MCCORMICK ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | • | Anthony J. Green | 1755 | | |
| eriod fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet wit | th the correspondence address | | |
| WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any | CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES OF THE MAI | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT accounts the application to become AB | CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133) | | |
| tatus | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se | entember 2005 | • | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | |
| ispositi | ion of Claims | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | |
| pplicati | ion Papers | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| riority u | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) 🗌 a) l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | oplication No received in this National Stage | | |
| Attachmen | | n □ | (DTO 440) | | |
|) | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s) | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

Improper Recapture

1. Claims 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

In the office action mailed 01/24/2005, 102(b) rejections to US patent 4,749,418 to Saeki and WO 91/05078 were made by the examiner. In applicant's response of 09/23/05, applicant came in and cancelled all of the original claims, basically narrowing the range of hexavalent chromium and trivalent chromium and arguing that the prior art relied upon taught amounts of chromium outside of the claimed range. Accordingly it

Art Unit: 1755

appears that the record clearly shows that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application and therefore there is an issue of improper recapture.

Oath/Declaration

2. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

Merely stating that "applicant's attorney filed to appreciate the full scope of the invention where claims of narrower scope in some aspects and broader scope in other aspects were not added by said amendment" is not sufficient.

Claim Rejections 35 USC 251

3. Claims 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being improperly broadened in a reissue application made and sworn to by the assignee and not the patentee. A claim is broader in scope than the original claims if it contains within its scope any conceivable product or process which would have infringed the original patent. A claim is broadened if it is broader in any one respect even though it may be narrower in other respects.

Specifically note that all of the newly added independent claims recite the limitation that component (C), the hexavalent chromium, is present in an amount of about "0.3 g/l to about 30 g/l", compared with 0.5 to 3.5 recited in all of the patent

Art Unit: 1755

claims. Also, note that the amount of component (D), the trivalent chromium cations is broader in the newly added claims compared with the patent claims.

4. Claims 1-29 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony J. Green
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755

ajg December 4, 2006